

From: (b) (6)
To: (b) (6)
Cc: (b) (6)
Subject: Fwd: DHS/HHS support update (FOUO)
Date: Monday, July 2, 2018 4:56:01 PM
Attachments: [Untitled attachment 00466.html](#)

FYSA

(b) (6)
Director for Environmental Quality
Office of the Assistant Secretary of the Army
for Installations, Energy and Environment
110 Army Pentagon
Room (b) (6)
Washington, DC 20310-1001
Office: (b) (6)
Cell: (b) (6)
(b) (6) [.civ@mail.mil](#)

Begin forwarded message:

From: (b) (6) [.civ@mail.mil](#)>
Date: July 2, 2018 at 4:49:26 PM EDT
To: (b) (6) [.civ@mail.mil](#)>
Cc: (b) (6)
Subject: DHS/HHS support update (FOUO)

(b) (6),

There is an OSD(Policy) package requesting SECDEF approval for the DoD mission to support DHS and HHS housing/sheltering. The package is in SECDEF's office as of today's 11am OSD(Policy) Housing Support call. I will share the final package when I have it.

The OSD(P) understanding is that SECDEF intends for DoD to have support ready on schedule with initial request even if HHS and DHS shift the schedule the right.

There are a couple of senior level White House meetings on housing support scheduled this week.

MOU and funding - there are discussions at the OSD (Comptroller) and the attorneys about using the Economy Act for DHS and HHS to fund DoD/Military Services. Folks are working on MOUs and encouraged to expedite. My understanding is that the DHS and HHS support

requirements are still sorted being out. Not sure who will sign MOU within Military Service.

DHS-DOD and HHS-DOD discussions are on-going at various levels. The daily call brings DHS and HHS reps for updates on alternate days. DHS has a formal request for assistance with details to date. HHS has only formally requested DoD assess our capability to support and that HHS would formally request for assistance if necessary. AF has a path forward to working with HHS on Goodfellow NEPA.

I've included the list of the Army reps/alts currently participating OSD(Policy) daily housing support call.

V/r,

(b)
(6)

-----Original Message-----

From: (b) (6)
Sent: Monday, July 2, 2018 3:05 PM
To: (b) (6) [.civ@mail.mil](mailto:..civ@mail.mil)>
Cc: (b) (6)

Subject: RE: Call from ACSIM - NEPA @ Fort Bliss

(b)
(6), Thank you. Appears from your note that "CEQ Emergency provisions" are not applicable. From what I am hearing, it does not appear that the 14 days could be reduced, as there are other permitting considerations in parallel with REC dates. Could you provide us with the latest status of any other elements of this action (DoD position/MOU funding/DHS-DoD discussions/etc.)?

V/r, (b)
(6)

(b) (6)
Assistant for Conservation
Office of the Assistant Secretary of the Army for
Installations, Energy and Environment
110 Army Pentagon, Room (b) (6)
Washington DC 20310-1001

(b) (6) [.civ@mail.mil](mailto:..civ@mail.mil)

Office: (b) (6)

Cell: (b) (6)

-----Original Message-----

From: (b) (6)
Sent: Monday, July 2, 2018 2:32 PM
To: (b) (6)

(b) (6)

Cc: (b) (6)

Subject: Call from ACSIM - NEPA @ Fort Bliss

Got a call from (b) (6) (ACSIM) today about the Army's Record of Environmental Consideration. He shared a concern about meeting the deadline for the support effort. He explained that (b) (6) stated that it takes Army 14 days to complete the REC and that I was working with CEQ to support reduced timeframes. I responded that I had not spoken with you lately but that CEQ essentially said they don't get involved unless the proposal is an emergency with likelihood of significant impacts. Also I explained that I had no insight into the Army REC process and I could not answer why it takes 14 days and could it reduced.

V/r,

(b) (6)

Office of the Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense (Environment, Safety and Occupational Health)

4800 Mark Center Drive (Box #56)

Suite 16G14

Alexandria, VA 22350-3605

(b) (6) [.civ@mail.mil](mailto:.(b) (6).civ@mail.mil)

(b) (6)

DoD Housing Support to DHS Working Group

[illegible]